```
1
 1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                         EASTERN DIVISION
 3
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                           No. 08 CR 888
 4
            Government,
                                           Chicago, Illinois
 5
   VS.
 6
   ROD BLAGOJEVICH, ROBERT
                                           December 16, 2009
   BLAGOJEVICH,
            Defendants.
                                           11:13 o'clock a.m.
 8
 9
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES B. ZAGEL
10
11
   For the Government:
12
                 THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. FITZGERALD.
                 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
                       Reid J. Schar
13
                 BY:
                 Christopher Niewoehner
Assistant United States Attorneys
219 South Dearborn Street;
14
15
                 Suite 500
                 Chicago, Illinois 60604
16
17
   Court Reporter:
18
                    Blanca I. Lara, CSR, RPR
                    219 South Dearborn Street
                     Room 2504
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 435-5895
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
2
    APPEARANCES (continued:)
 1
 2
    For Defendant Rod Blagojevich:
 3
 4
               KAPLAN & SOROSKY
 5
                      Sheldon M. Sorosky
               BY:
               158 West Erie
Chicago, Illinois 60610
(312) 640-1776
 6
              LAW OFFICE OF SAMUEL E. ADAM
                     Samuel Forbes Adam
 8
              BY:
               Sam Adam, Jr. 6133 South Ellis Avenue
 9
               Suite 200
               Chicago, Illinois 60637
312-726-2326
10
11
12
    For Defendant Robert Blagojevich:
13
               ETTINGER, BESBEKOS, PARISI
BY: Michael D. Ettinger
12413 South Harlem
14
               Suite 203
Palos Hills, Illinois 60453
(708)598-1111
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case: 1:08-cr-00888 Document #: 1041 Filed: 09/11/12 Page 3 of 12 PageID #:16974 3 (The following proceedings were had in open 1 court:) 2 2008 CR 888, United States versus 3 THE CLERK: Blagojevich et al. 4 MR. SCHAR: Good morning, Judge. 5 :13AM Reid Schar and Chris Niewoehner on behalf of 6 7 the United States. MR. ETTINGER: Good morning, Your Honor. 8 Michael Ettinger for Robert Blagojevich. 9 Sheldon Sorosky and Sam Adam 10 MR. SOROSKY: :14AM senior and junior on behalf of Rod Blagojevich. 11 Mr. Ackerman, unfortunately, is a little 12 under the weather today and could not be here. 13 MR. ADAM, JR.: Good morning, Your Honor. 14 MR. S.F. ADAM: Good morning, Your Honor. 15 :14AM THE COURT: I have received the draft of the 16 proposed jury questionnaire. Just for your 17 information, I generally think the agreed questions 18 are all good, there might be phrases with respect to 19 one or two that I might change, but it's not much. 20 The additional questions, I would sustain most of 21 the objections to them but I haven't really looked 22 at that carefully. The ones that I have under 23

consideration as possible questions to ask are

Defense 1, Defense 15 but that does not include 16,

:14AM

:15AM

24

25

4

1 15 only; Defense 28, the core question, the sub-questions -- the one sub-question that is not covered by other questions is sub 4. Defense 42, 43 and 44. So the government wants to elaborate its objections to those, it'll have a chance to do so.

My next question is, I have a letter from one of defense counsel to several Assistant United States Attorney, dated December 3rd, 2009, and I was wondering why the letter was sent to me.

> Pardon me? MR. SOROSKY:

THE COURT: I'm wondering why the letter was sent to me.

MR. S.F. ADAM: Judge, that was sent to you for the completion of the record. Usually as we understand it, the government was sending copies of all the communication between defense counsel and the government on the matter of the possible agreement to limit our comments, and we understood they did not include that in the communication.

> THE COURT: No.

MR. S.F. ADAM: For some reason they didn't send that letter to you, as we understand. They may have but we didn't get the facts straight, but in any event, I wanted for the completion of the record Your Honor to have that.

:17AM

:17AM

12

13 14

15

16

10

11

3

5

6

:17AM

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

:18AM

:18AM

THE COURT: Okay. For what it's worth, it might be helpful if the language were a little less purple, it's better to do it that way.

Another point I would like to make, which I think is something that ought to be noted, if defense counsel thinks that there's been adverse public commentary that might possibly effect the interest of their client, it is generally not a wise idea to set forth extensive excerpts from that commentary, because whatever complaints you have about what a specific prosecutor may or may not have said, is an issue having to do with whether some complaint might be filed, but from the point of view of your client, the sooner escapes the public memory, the better it is for your client.

There have been, my recollection is, particularly at the initial stages prior to indictment, a lot of public commentary. I expect that in June or perhaps maybe a month later but not much, more than a month later, when we're examining jurors, they will not remember the details and I don't think it's in the interest of the defense to remind them, and I'm quite sure the prosecutors don't intend to remind them even if they might want to; just an observation.

:19AM

:19AM

:20AM

:20AM

:20AM

MR. S.F. ADAM.: I understand. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: With respect to the partial pretrial motions which have to deal with discovery, some of it, for example in the partial pretrial motions, Item Number 3, I think is not something that has to be dealt with, the rest of them the government can respond in writing to it.

MR. SCHAR: Judge --

THE COURT: And of it also, incidentally, has to deal not so much with disputes about what is discovery but the timing, and that I think is also true with respect to the motion for discovery which is separately filed to which you can also respond in writing.

MR. SCHAR: Thank you, Judge, we'd like to do that both on the issue of timing and, secondly, on the issue of the currently pretty broad view of what is actually discoverable in this case. And what we'd ask, based on the schedule for the holidays, that we have to the end of the first week, January 8th, to respond jointly to everything that we think is outstanding in those two series of motions.

THE COURT: I think I have also been informed that it's the government's current intention to seek

:21AM

:21AM

:22AM

:22AM

:22AM

7 a recast indictment from the grand jury, is that 1 2 correct? That is correct, Judge. 3 MR. SCHAR: THE COURT: And when might you anticipate? 4 Judge, I believe that would be 5 MR. SCHAR: :23AM likely the last week of January, first week of 6 February. 7 8 THE COURT: Okay. In order to hasten things, when you do that, 9 you should, if that occurs, you should accompany 10 whatever new indictment is returned, if one is 11 returned, with a description of why you believe this 12 moots the issues that might be raised by a possible 13 decision by the Supreme Court of the United States, 14 that way the defense will understand your theory so 15 if they want to object they'll have a much more 16 focused objection. 17 So you can file, if the indictment is 18 returned, maybe within three or four days 19 thereafter, you can file a brief on that issue. 20 :24AM It would also be helpful to me were you to 21 obtain copies of the briefing in the Supreme Court 22 of the United States on the case whatever official 23 24

cases that they have, just attach them.

MR. SCHAR: The briefs? Okay.

:23AM

:23AM

:24AM

25

```
8
                              The briefs in the Supreme Court.
        1
                  THE COURT:
                              In the Supreme Court, very good
        2
                  MR. SCHAR:
          judge.
        3
                  THE COURT: Other other matters? Anybody
        4
          have anything?
        5
:24AM
                  MR. SOROSKY: Nothing else, Your Honor.
        6
        7
                  MR. ETTINGER:
                                 No.
                  WOMAN SPEAKER FROM AUDIENCE: This is having
        8
          to do with the Blagojevich?
                  THE COURT: You can't make a statement.
       10
                                                            You
:25AM
          can't make a statement, you can't file anything
       11
          unless your lawyer and you file an appearance.
       12
                                                            So
          you have to remain seated and you can speak to
       13
          Mr. Walker after the call is over.
       14
                  MR. SCHAR: Judge, on the issue of timing and
       15
:25AM
          responding to the defendant's motions, because we
       16
          think that the case is proceeding on a schedule for
       17
          the June trial date, is the 8th okay in terms of our
       18
          response to the motion?
       19
       20
                  THE COURT: Sure.
:25AM
       21
                  MR. SCHAR:
                              Thank you, Judge.
                 THE COURT: You can have a couple of weeks to
       22
          reply.
       23
                  THE CLERK: That will be January 22nd for the
       24
          defendant's reply.
       25
:25AM
```

```
9
                  THE COURT: Give them a first week of
        1
        2
          February status.
                  THE CLERK: Next court date will be
        3
          February 4th at 11:00 a.m..
        4
                  THE COURT: Anybody have a problem with that
        5
:26AM
        6
          date?
        7
                              Judge --
                  MR. SCHAR:
                  MR. SOROSKY: Well, will the new indictment
        8
          be back then? It might be more provident to --
                  THE COURT: My understand was is that if
       10
:26AM
          there is a new indictment it will probably be
       11
          relatively newly returned at the time of that
       12
       13
          status.
                              It might make more sense to do
       14
                  MR. SCHAR:
          early the following week on the off-chance that it's
       15
:26AM
          that particular week or end of that week.
       16
       17
                  THE COURT: Do you care?
       18
                  MR. SOROSKY: Could I suggest a date perhaps
          January 27th, the last week in January, just to
       19
          resolve the discovery matters separate and apart
       20
:26AM
          from the new indictment?
       21
                  THE COURT:
       22
                             Sure.
                                Because I think we have --
       23
                  MR. SOROSKY:
                  THE COURT: No, that's fair.
       24
                  THE CLERK: 11:00 a.m. on January 27th.
       25
:27AM
```

```
10
        1
                  THE COURT: And then the second week of
          February for status.
        2
                             February 10th.
        3
                  THE CLERK:
                                  January 27th?
        4
                  MR. ETTINGER:
                  MR. SOROSKY: Is that okay?
        5
:27AM
        6
                  MR. ETTINGER:
                                 Yeah.
                  MR. SCHAR: Might also be arraignment day.
        7
                  THE COURT: Might be what?
        8
                  MR. SCHAR: An arraignment date as well, I
        9
          believe, if it is returned.
       10
:27AM
                  THE COURT: If that's what happens, that's
       11
          what happens, fine with me.
       12
                  MR. ETTINGER: My client should be here,
       13
                 We'll talk to the government before.
          then?
       14
       15
                  THE COURT:
                             Exactly.
:27AM
                  Anything else? From anybody?
       16
                  MR. SCHAR: No. Judge.
       17
                  THE COURT: Time will be excluded in the
       18
          interest of justice so that the defendants may
       19
          prepare.
       20
:28AM
                              Thank you, Judge.
       21
                  MR. SCHAR:
                  Have a good holiday.
       22
                                Thank you.
       23
                  MR. SOROSKY:
                  MR. ETTINGER: Thank you, Judge.
       24
       25
:28AM
```

```
11
           THE COURT: Thank you.
 1
 2
 3
        (Which concluded the proceedings had on this
 4
         date in the above entitled cause.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	12	
1		
2	* * * * * * * *	
4		
5		
6	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIF	Т
7	FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLE	
8	MATTER	
9		
10		
11	/s/Blanca I. Lara date	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	Planca T Laura	-
17 18	Blanca I. Lara Date	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		